



ecology and environment, inc.

1999 BRYAN STREET, DALLAS, TEXAS 75201, TEL. (214) 220-0318
International Specialists in the Environment

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chris Petersen, DPO
EPA Region 6

THRU: Chris Quina, TATL
Region 6 Technical Assistance Team

FROM: Steven Cowan *SC*
Region 6 Technical Assistance Team

DATE: July 29, 1994

REF: TAT Contract Number 68-WO-0037
TDD #: T06-9405-905
PAN #: E06Z170VAA

SUBJECT: Narrative Summary
Garth Road Site, Baytown, TX
CERCLIS #: TXD981517691

INTRODUCTION

The Region 6 Technical Assistance Team (TAT) was tasked by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to review the existing EPA Region 6 CERCLIS file for the Garth Road Site so a final decision can be made by EPA as to the site's current CERCLIS status. The file was reviewed for CERCLA eligibility, RCRA status, Subtitle D status or Texas Water Commission (TWC) enforcement action, in addition to relevant Hazard Ranking System (HRS) data. Based on the file review, the EPA has made a decision to give the Garth Road Site a classification of No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP). This memorandum will briefly describe the information obtained from the file that lead to a site classification of NFRAP for the Garth Road Site.

SITE HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION

The Garth Road Site, which is located in Donna, Texas, is a possible dumping ground for petrochemical wastes; however, no sludges, stained soils, distressed vegetation or odors were found at the Site Inspection

REGULATORY STATUS OF SITE

A Site Inspection was performed in 1987. The facility had no operational permits.

9832941



RELEVANT HRS DATA

The sources identified on the site were areas of soil contamination and a 10,000 gallon tank containing equipment rinsates. Soil from the drainage ditch and the tank indicated some toxaphene contamination; however, the waste quantity appears to be very small.

Ground water is not used for drinking water within the Ground Water Migration Pathway's target distance limit.

The nearest perennial surface water body is greater than two miles from the site.

The site is inactive and is located in rural area; thus, there does not appear to be a substantial number of Soil Exposure Pathway targets.

The site is located in a rural area and sensitive environments have not been identified within the 4-mile target distance limit of the Air Migration Pathway.